



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/724,289	11/28/2000	David M. Bankers	L294.12-0010	7557	
164	7590 02/15/2006		EXAMINER		
	LANGE, P.A.		VU, NGOC K		
	' & LANGE BUILDING 'HIRD STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55415-1002		2611		

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/724,289		BANKERS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ngoc K. Vu	2611	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
see attached. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
13. Other:
Ngoc K. Vu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2611

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Applicant's arguments filed 1/26/06 have been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant merely argues that Ellis does not teach creating, updating and transmitting the interactive program guide at the headend equipment. This agreement is not persuasive. The system of Ellis comprises headend 16 for providing program guide data to user television equipment 22 over communication path 20. Particularly, distribution equipment 21 at the headend may or may not include program guide server 25 for providing program guide data in response to queries generated by a program guide client implemented on user television equipment 22 (see figures 2a-2d, 0064-0067). Ellis further discloses that program guide data is recorded or stored in remote media server 24 at the headend 16 (see 0074-0076), and the programs and program guide data may be played back by media server 24 and distributed to user television equipment for viewing in real-time (see 0097, 0121). It is noted that the program guide data is updated at the headend to distribute the appropriate program guide data to the user television equipment. Accordingly, Ellis teaches creating, updating and transmitting the interactive program guide at the headend equipment.

Applicant further argues that the Ohno reference does not disclose creating and updating an interactive schedule for programming. As indicated above, these features are taught by the Ellis reference. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Ellis does not teach the feature of the entertainment system at a lodging facility and the plurality of terminals in a plurality of guest rooms. As recognized by Ohno, an audio/video services system of a hotel provides audio/video services on demand to a plurality of terminal sections in a plurality of guest rooms (see col. 2-3, lines 66-3 and figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Ellis by utilizing a video on demand services system of a hotel for distributing audio and video services to guest rooms as taught by Ohno in order to provide audio and video services on demand to many locations such as guest rooms in the hotel with a simple and low-cost structure.